### **All Agency Project Request**

2013 - 2015 Biennium

Agency <u>Institution</u> <u>Building No.</u> <u>Building Name</u>

University of Wisconsin Madison 285-0A-0155 COMPUTER SCIENCES AND STATISTICS

Project No. 14E2U Project Title Computer Science & Stats Roof Repl

#### **Project Intent**

This project provides investigation and research, pre-design, and design services to replace the plaza deck and roof membrane of Roof Area 27 to provide a watertight membrane, increased insulation, and improve safety. The plaza deck will be evaluated to identify deficiencies, develop design solution alternatives, and recommend appropriate corrective measures.

#### **Project Description**

Project work includes replacing the approx.. 2,200 SF plaza deck and roof membrane. All existing concrete pavers, post tensioned concrete slabs, rigid insulation, concrete stairs, and raised metal deck on structural steel studs will be removed to the structural concrete deck below in the 1965 building and to the concrete deck over metal deck in the 1986 a d d i t i o n . The waterproofing membrane will be hot rubberized asphalt applied to the concrete deck and provide a 20- year warranty. An Electric Field Vector Mapping (EFVM) leak detection system will be installed over the membrane and above the EFVM will be a protection board and a drainage mat. Since the 1965 building deck was not insulated, five inches of expanded polystyrene (EPS) 60 psi rigid insulation will be installed above the drainage mat. Where the c o n c r e t e pavers were originally installed, the space between the concrete deck and the paver surface varied from 12-inches to 22-inches. To achieve the same finish walking surface elevation, this space will be in filled with extruded polystyrene (XPS) 60 psi foam insulation. New concrete stairs will be installed to compensate for deck elevation changes and to correct the rusting from the reinforcing in the nosing. The walking surface over the insulation will be 4-inch thick concrete with exposed aggregate, per the university s design guidelines.

The east face of the one-story 1986 addition will have the flashing removed and elevated to compensate for the new raised deck. Brick will need to be removed to install new thru-wall stainless steel flashing and weep holes. All other galvanized wall flashings will be replaced with stainless steel, including the galvanized cap on the precast north wall panels. A concrete curb will be installed adjacent to the south side deck louver to compensate for the increased deck insulation and new deck elevation.

Guard rails on top of the east side precast walls will require raising due to the new east side deck elevation height. New guard rails will be installed and the north walkway guard rail will be replaced to meet the university design standards and match new stair profiles.

The roof drains will be replaced with new drains to handle the under slab moisture and will be extended to the top walking surface to handle surface drainage. The ladder to the roof of the one story building will be replaced with a new ladder and locked lower guard to provide code compliance. The electrical vault access will be raised on the east end of the plaza deck to accommodate the new adjacent insulated raised deck surface elevation.

The caulking on the one-story building and precast walls will be replaced. The east side exposed concrete foundation wall to have the cementitious coating. The concrete walk surface will be repaired and coated with an elastomeric paint.

#### **Project Justification**

The Computer Science deck and Roof Area 27 was constructed in two phases. The original plaza deck constructed in 1965 is leaking and there is evidence of water streaks on the walls, water on the floor of an electrical room below this space, and water stains on the ceiling structure. In 1986, phase 2 was constructed over a portion of the 1965 plaza deck and included installing concrete steps and 24-inch square concrete pavers supported on adjustable pedestals over a water proof membrane. The condition of these supports is unknown, but structural damage due to rust is a concern.

The flashing/counterflashing material is galvanized sheet metal. There are sections where the flashing receiver and counterflashing are rusting and should be replaced, which will require some brick removal and replacement. All other

## **All Agency Project Request**

2013 - 2015 Biennium

counterflashing should be replaced with stainless steel. Alongside the steps, the Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) waterproofing membrane is unprotected leaving the membrane exposed to potential damage from shovels or foot traffic. The exterior face of the lower plaza concrete foundation wall has been covered with a brushed cementitious material. This coating has numerous spider cracks and has taken on water as observed by areas where the coating is spalling off the wall and staining, and not bonding to the wall.

A/E Consultant Requireme	<u>nts</u>	✓ A/E Selection Required?	mmissioning
Consultants should have sproofing systems, exterior b within institutional enviror roofing conditions, site sur accurate development of d production of necessary deprojects from past experier interest and when known, in the consultant will verify modifications as required to pre-design document to establishment.	pecific expertise and uilding envelope rer nments as part of a dreys, acquiring field esign and bidding design and bidding donce (including size, anclude proposed coproject scope, sched o complete the spectablish an appropria	dexperience in the design and coordination of movation/restoration, and masonry construction lesign team. Work includes report of existing data, and verifying as-built conditions to assure ocuments, drafting roof plans and details, and cuments. Consultants should indicate specific cost, and completion date) in their letter of insulting partners and specialty consultants.  The consultant will prepare a te project scope, budget, and schedule prior to om the Board of Regents and State Building	
Project Budget		Funding Source(s)	<u>Total</u>
Construction Cost:	\$	GFSB - Facilities Maintenance & Renovation [Z060]	\$0
Haz Mats:	\$0	PRSB - []	\$0
Construction Total:	\$	Agency/Institution Cash []	\$0
Contingency: 15%	\$	Gifts	\$0
A/E Design Fees: 8%		Grants	\$0
DFD Mgmt Fees: 4%		Building Trust Funds [BTF]	\$8,100
Equipment/Other:	\$0	Other Funding Source	\$0
	\$320,000		\$8,100
Project Schedule		Project Contact	
SBC Approval	: 03/2015	Contact Name: Chris Velie	
A/E Selection:	07/2014	Email: <cvelie@fpm.wisc.edu></cvelie@fpm.wisc.edu>	
Bid Opening	: 05/2015	<b>Telephone:</b> (608) 263-3018 x	
Construction Start:	: 06/2015		
Substantial Completion:	08/2015		
Project Close Out:	: 12/2015		
Project Scope Consideration	on Checklist		<u>y N</u>
Will the building or area occupants will be accom		oject be occupied during construction? If yes, explain how nstruction.	the 🗹 🗌
All project work will be coo operations and activities.	ordinated through ca	mpus physical plant staff to minimize disruptions to daily	
2. Is the project an extension	on of another autho	rized project? If so, provide the project #	

# **All Agency Project Request**

2013 - 2015 Biennium

3.	Are hazardous materials involved? If yes, what materials are involved and how will they be handled?	
	$Hazardous  materials  abatement  is  not  anticipated  on  this project.  Comprehensive  building  survey  inventory  data  is  not  available  on  Wisconsin's  Asbestos  \mathcal{E}  Lead  Management  System  (WALMS)  http://walms.doa.state.wi.us/>.$	
4.	Will the project impact the utility systems in the building and cause disruptions? If yes, to what extent?	
5.	Will the project impact the heating plant, primary electrical system, or utility capacities supplying the building? If yes, to what extent?	
6.	Are other projects or work occurring within this project's work area? If yes, provide the project # and/or description of the other work in the project scope.	
7.	Have you identified the WEPA designation of the projectType I, Type II, or Type III?  Type III.	<b>✓</b>
8.	Is the facility listed on a historic register (federal or state), or is the facility listed by the Wisconsin Historical Society as a building of potential historic significance? If yes, describe here.	
9.	Are there any other issues affecting the cost or status of this project?	
10.	Will the construction work be limited to a particular season or window of opportunity? If yes, explain the limitations and provide proposed solution.	<b>✓</b>
	$Project work is seasonal. \label{lem:projectwork} Project work schedule should be limited to late spring, summer, and/or early fall months if possible.$	
11.	Will the project improve, decrease, or increase the function and costs of facilities operational and maintenance budget and the work load? If yes, to what extent?	<b>✓</b>
	$Completion of this project will decrease operational \it maintenance \it costs.$	
12.	Are there known code or health and safety concerns? If yes, identify and indicate if the correction or compliance measure was included in the budget estimate, or indicate plans for correcting the issue(s).	
13.	Are there potential energy or water usages reduction grants, rebates, or incentives for which the project may qualify (i.e. Focus on Energy <a href="http://www.focusonenergy.com">http://www.focusonenergy.com</a> or the local utility provider)? If yes, describe here.	
14.	If this is an energy project, indicate and describe the simple payback on state funding sources in years and the expected energy reduction here.	